

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:
09/557,823	04/25/2000	Richard J. Bucala	0203H	9900
	590 12/04/2001	WOLFELLD		
PIPER MARBURY RUDNICK & WOLFE LLP			EXAM	INER
	NTH STREET, NW		NOLAN, PATRICK J	
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20036-2412		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	,
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/557,823**

Applicant(s)

Bucala et al..

Examiner

Patrick J. Nolan

Art Unit 1644



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
- Exter		CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed		
- If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	cation. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO		period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
- Failur - Any ı	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status				
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 17</u> ,	2001		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This ac	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is earte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>66-72</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 69-72	is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>66-68</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: aD approved bD disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) [All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha			
		ve been received in Application No		
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a lis			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachm	ent(s)			
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 🔲 Ini	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Serial Number: 09/557,823

Art Unit: 1644

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 66-72 are pending. The specification on page 1 should be amended to reflect the status of the parent applications.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 66-68 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that further examination of the kit claim would pose an undue burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because kit claims are examined as product claims and the method claims of Group I are patentably distinct from product claims for reasons given in Paper No.6.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Accordingly, claims 69-72 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 66-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,350,687. (A).

The `687 patent teaches a diagnostic method for determining the amount of MIF in plasma (i.e. serum), by ELISA, using a monoclonal antibody to a 14kDa human MIF (see column 37-38, 42, 45, 46 and 81, in particular).

It is noted that the term "approximately 12.5 kDa" is interpreted to mean a range of proteins which have a molecular weight of approximately 12.5 kDa. Since there are discrepancies often encountered in the art between protein molecular weight when determined by different methods, when a molecular weight is recited to characterize a protein the claims should include not only the method by which it was determined, e.g. whether by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, gel filtration or some other method, but also whether the determination was made under denaturing or non-denaturing conditions and whether reducing or non-reducing conditions were are used. It is presumed that equivalent products can be obtained by multiple routes. In light of Applicant's specification on page 70, lines 14-20, wherein gel-

Serial Number: 09/557,823

Art Unit: 1644

exclusion chromatography of GdnHCL-denatured recombinant MIF showed a molecular weight of 14.2 kDa and laser desorption MS showed recombinant human MIF to have a molecular weight of 12.5 kDa, a molecular weight range of 12.5 to 14.2 kDa, the prior art teachings of a 14 kDa human MIF is within the scope of Applicant's claimed "approximately 12.5 kDa".

The prior art teachings anticipate the claimed invention.

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-3973. The FAX number for our group, 1644, is (703) 305-7939. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

December 2, 2001

Patrice /Non